Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		
	10/712,657	LUO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BERNARD KRASNIC	2624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Bernard Krasnic (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Marc Rossi (Reg. No. 31,923).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2009	Time: <u>12:15pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Bernard Krasnic/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/712,657

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated a call to the Applicant's representative, Mr. Rossi, in order to offer an Examiners Amendment expedite prosecution. The Examiner suggested amending independent method claim 1 to indicate that steps b-d are processed using a computer device in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 issue in regards to the new In re Bilski decision "tied to". The Applicants representative agreed and proposed a set of Amendments which have been attached to this interview summary. The Examiner agreed to these amendments.